Subject: Summary List of Actions Report to: Police and Crime Committee Report of: Executive Director of Secretariat Date: 22 October 2015 This report will be considered in public

1. Summary

1.1 This report sets out for noting actions arising from previous meetings of the Committee.

2. Recommendation

2.1 That the Committee notes the outstanding actions arising from previous meetings of the Committee, as listed in the report.

Meeting of 24 September 2015

| Minute item | Subject and action required | Status | Action by |
|-------------|---|-------------|-----------|
| 9 | Question and Answer Session with the Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime (MOPAC) and the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS). | | |
| | During the discussion, the Commissioner, MPS, undertook to provide the Committee with: | In progress | MPS |
| | An update on the shortfall in the budget of £1.6 million related to transport policing; and | | |
| | An analysis of how the various strands of Operation Omega contribute to tackling violence. | | |
| | The Committee will meet informally with the Commissioner and the Deputy Commissioner to receive a briefing on the options that the MPS is considering to deal with a potential reduction in its future funding. | | Continued |

City Hall, The Queen's Walk, London SE1 2AA

Enquiries: 020 7983 4100 minicom: 020 7983 4458 www.london.gov.uk

| Minute item | Subject and action required | Status | Action by |
|-------------|--|-------------|-----------|
| | During the discussion, the Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime undertook to: | In progress | MOPAC |
| | Find out and inform the Committee as to why the Community Development Foundation has been engaged to support boroughs on implementing Shield; | | |
| | Provide the Committee with the number of Safer Neighbourhood Boards (SNBs) that have bank accounts; | | |
| | Examine and report back to the Committee on the issues raised regarding: (a) the level of core funding for SNBs; and the difficulties SNBs had experienced in accessing available funding; and | | |
| | Report back to the Committee about the reasons for the collective enforcement undertaken in Lambeth. | | |

Meeting of 10 September 2015

| Minute item | Subject and action required | Status | Action by |
|----------------|--|-------------|-----------|
| 5. | Gangs and Serious Youth Violence | | |
| | During the discussion, Commander Ball, MPS, undertook to provide the Committee with: | In progress | MPS |
| | A breakdown of the number of police officers in borough gangs teams; | | |
| | A copy of the operational impact assessment done for the Shield pilot; and | | |
| | The evaluation date for Shield. | | |
| | During the discussion, the Committee agreed to write to the MPS to seek further information on the database, being developed by the MPS, on people who were vulnerable to gangs and was asked to provide responses to the following questions: | | |
| | What criteria are used for identifying a gang member? | | Continued |

| Minute item | Subject and action required | Status | Action by |
|----------------|--|--------|-----------|
| | Do all Borough Operation Command Unit Gang teams use the same criteria for identifying who are included in the Gangs Matrix? | | |
| | What information and evidence threshold is needed before an individual is placed on the Matrix? How is evidence corroborated (for example is evidence from more than one partner agency required)? | | |
| | Who authorises and monitors the data on the Gangs Matrix? Please include Police ranking if applicable. | | |
| | Who has access to the Gangs Matrix? | | |
| | Do any civilian agencies have access to view the information on the Gangs Matrix? | | |
| | Will potential employers or universities be able to see if individuals appear on the Gangs Matrix when conducting a Disclosure Barring Service Check? | | |
| | When will an individual be taken off the Matrix? Please give details of the criteria used in this assessment. | | |
| | Following reports that Haringey and Lambeth have pulled out of Shield, Commander Ball was also asked to provide details of concerns and where that left Shield. | | |

Meeting of 21 July 2015

| Minute item | Subject and action required | Status | Action by |
|-------------|--|-------------|-----------|
| 5. | Question and Answer Session with the Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime and the Metropolitan Police Service | | |
| | During the discussion, the Deputy Commissioner, MPS, undertook to provide the Committee with: | In progress | MPS |
| | The number of Metropolitan Police officers who had visited Northern Ireland for water cannon training and the number of officers | | Continued |

| Minute item | Subject and action required | Status | Action by |
|----------------|--|--|-----------|
| | from the Northern Ireland Police Service who had travelled to London and the frequency of the visits; | | |
| | Confirmation, once it is known, as to whether national guidance on Taser use will be amended as a result of the Begley case; | | |
| | Whether there were plans to publish the minutes of the Taser Reference Group in order to aid transparency; | | |
| | Which two London boroughs had increased their number of Taser trained officers; | | |
| | Data illustrating whether the number of emotionally vulnerable people affected by Taser usage had risen in 2015; | | |
| | The report on Operation Strong Tower once it is published; | | |
| | The resources and costs arising from Operation Omega; | | |
| | How the 14 languages in the MPS's month-long recruitment pilot were chosen; and | | |
| | The report of the MPS's month long- recruitment pilot to MOPAC, once complete. | | |
| 6. | Responses to Scrutiny Report: | | |
| | Breaking the Cycle: Reducing youth Reoffending in London | In progress for receiving responses to the | MOPAC |
| | | report. | Continued |

Meeting of 9 July 2015

| Minute item | Subject and action required | Status | Action by |
|-------------|---|--------------|-----------|
| 5. | Question and Answer Session with the Mayor of London, Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime, Commissioner and Deputy Commissioner of the Metropolitan Police Service During the discussion, the Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis undertook to share the MPS's three-year financial plan with the Assembly as soon as possible. | In progress. | MPS |

Meeting of 25 June 2015

| Minute item | Subject and action required | Status | Action by |
|-------------|--|-------------|-----------|
| 8. | Question and Answer Session with the Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime and the Metropolitan Police Service - Part 2 | | |
| | During the discussion the Chief Operating Officer, MOPAC, undertook to provide information about the cost of procuring body worn video cameras once the procurement process had concluded. | In progress | MOPAC |

Meeting of 26 March 2015

| Minute item | Subject and action required | Status | Action by |
|-------------|---|-------------|---------------------|
| 5. | Question and Answer Session with the Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime and the Metropolitan Police Service | | |
| | During the discussion, Lord Carlile CBE QC agreed to share the work programme of the London Policing Ethics Panel (LPEP), which would be produced after the general election. | In progress | Lord Carlile CBE QC |
| | The LPEP now has its own website: http://www.policingethicspanel.london/ | | Continued |

Complaints about the Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime and the Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime (DMPC)

| Minute item | Subject and action required | Status | Action by | Deadline, if applicable |
|----------------|---|--|---|-------------------------|
| 5. | Complaints about the Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime and the Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime (DMPC) | | | |
| | The Committee agreed, inter alia, to delegate to the Monitoring Officer all of the powers and functions conferred on it by the Elected Local Policing Bodies (Complaints and Misconduct) Regulations, with the exception of the functions set out at Part 4 of the Regulations which may not be delegated; and guidance on the handling of complaints which requires the Monitoring Officer to report, on a regular basis, the summary details (such as can be reported in public), on the exercise of any and all of these functions to the Committee for monitoring purposes. | No disclosures to report for the period 29 September 2015 to 13 October 2015. | Monitoring Officer | n/a |
| 6. | Transparency Procedure The Committee agreed Members disclose to the Executive Director of Secretariat or his nominated representative (within 28 days of the contact) details of any significant contact with the MPS and/or MOPAC which they consider to be relevant to the work of the Committee; and such disclosures be reported to the next meeting of the Committee. | No disclosures to report for the period 29 September 2015 to 13 October 2015. | Executive Director of Secretariat | n/a |

Appendices to this report:

None

Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985

List of Background Papers: None

Contact Officer: Joanna Brown and Teresa Young, Senior Committee Officers

Telephone: 020 7983 6559

E-mail: <u>joanna.brown@london.gov.uk</u>; and <u>teresa.young@london.gov.uk</u>